Comments to this draft abbreviated plan will be accepted through February 28 2011. Comments will be considered in the development of the final plan which will be submitted to HUD for approval by March 1 2011. IHCDA has already completed its allocation process for NSP3 funds and is requesting HUD approval of our funding decisions in our plan. Upon approval by HUD, IHCDA will begin to negotiate award agreements with our approved subgrantees for the purposes and activities set forth in this plan.

1. NSP3 Grantee Information

NSP3 Program Administrator Contact Information	
Name (Last, First)	Hunt, Peter
Email Address	phunt@ihcda.in.gov
Phone Number	317-522-7298
Mailing Address	30 S. Meridian Street, Suite 1000, Indianapolis, IN46204

2. Areas of Greatest Need

Map Submission

The map generated at the HUD NSP3 Mapping Tool for Preparing Action Plan website is included as an attachment.

Data Sources Used to Determine Areas of Greatest Need

Describe the data sources used to determine the areas of greatest need.

Response

IHCDA utilized the NSP3 mapping system (http://www.huduser.org/NSP/NSP3.html) to determine its areas of greatest need, as discussed further below. Where applicable, we supplemented or sought clarification on data from the mapping system with data from Indiana University's Stats Indiana website: (http://www.stats.indiana.edu/index.asp). Stats Indiana compiles data on Indiana communities from both the most recent Census Bureau information available and from a range of other sources, such as the Indiana Department of Workforce Development (for employment and unemployment data) and the Indiana Family and Social Services Administration (for information on public assistance beneficiaries and families in poverty). Again to supplement the information gleaned from the NSP3 mapping website, we accessed local sources of public information such as the Indiana Department of Local Government Finance (for sales comparables by community). In all cases, however, information from the NSP3 mapping system was regarded as definitive and received precedence over any other data source for the purpose of identifying areas of greatest need.

Determination of Areas of Greatest Need and Applicable Tiers

Describe how the areas of greatest need were established and whether a tiered approach is being utilized to determine the distribution of funding.

Response:

Areas of greatest need are those census tracts that have a minimum NSP3 needs score of 17 as determined by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development. HUD's NSP3 needs score is based on the percentage of delinquent mortgages, foreclosures, vacant properties and subprime loans for each census tract in the state. A needs score of 17 places a census tract in the top quintile nationwide in terms of distress. Due to the need to ramp up quickly, we solicited proposals only from experienced NSP1 sub-grantees (cities, towns and nonprofit organizations) that met three criteria:

1. They demonstrated a track record of deploying CDBG and NSP funds in a timely fashion and in

accord with all applicable law and regulation (including but not limited to requirements imposed by Davis-Bacon, lead-based paint regulations, and Section III), while remaining in compliance with all reporting and documentation requirements;

- 2. Their NSP1 service areas met the distress criteria specified by HUD; and
- 3. They did not receive a direct allocation of NSP3 funds for their service area.

Eleven NSP1 sub-grantees met these criteria, as follows:

- 1. <u>Cities</u>: Bicknell, Elwood, Hartford City, Michigan City, Mishawaka, New Albany, New Castle, Richmond, Terre Haute, and Union City; and
- 2. <u>Nonprofits</u>: Children's Museum of Indianapolis/Near North Development Corporation (serving a specific neighborhood in Indianapolis) and Hoosier Uplands Economic Development Corporation (serving Paoli).

The eligible census tracts within these communities represent our primary focus areas (hereafter, "focus areas") for NSP3 funds. In order to expedite the start of projects as soon as funding is approved by HUD, IHCDA issued a Request for Proposals for NSP3 funds on December 15 2010 and accepted proposals through January 31 2011. Each RFP respondent committed through its application to:

- 1. Expend NSP3 funds only in areas of greatest need as determined by the NSP3 mapping system;
- 2. Expend at least 50% of NSP3 funds within 24 months and 100% within 36 months; and
- 3. Comply with all applicable law and regulation.

As a result of this process, we selected the sub-grantees and activities set forth below, for total proposed sub-awards of \$8,109,562; we will retain the balance of our award (\$343,875) for program administration at IHCDA; in accord with NSP3 program regulations, this amount plus the administrative allowance claimed by our proposed subgrantees (\$374,000, included in our subaward total) is less than ten percent (10%) of our total allocation (\$717,875, or 8.7% of our total award amount).

Each of these communities is facing high and persistent unemployment, significant levels of high cost mortgages, falling home values, and increasing vacancy rates in large part due to increasing levels of foreclosure. Among those vacancies are a significant number of units that are deteriorated beyond feasible redevelopment. The low and generally declining home values in the target areas mean that many homes can only appraise at a fraction of what they cost to build. The low median income levels mean that ownership of an affordable yet high quality home without some form of homebuyer subsidy is often out of reach for individuals earning less than 120% of area median income for those areas, and particularly difficult for families earning less than 50% of area median income. Finally, the existence of vacant and dilapidated homes further depresses housing values, exacerbating these trends. Based on our analysis of the applications received, financial assistance through NSP3 will help each community to address its most intractable problem properties while stabilizing home values and positioning new homeowners to accumulate meaningful equity in their homes while holding a mortgage that does not unduly burden the family's finances. To create homeownership opportunities for households earning less than 50% of area median income, we will partner with Habitat for Humanity affiliates to develop extremely affordable units with very favorable financing terms. For both sets of homebuyers, we will ensure sub-grantee compliance with the NSP3 requirement for at least eight hours housing counseling per buyer. For those families for whom sustainable homeownership is not achievable even under these relaxed constraints, we will develop affordable rental properties that offer high-quality housing with features that minimize utility costs and maximize affordability. None of our projects will entail the displacement or relocation of existing residents.

3. Definitions and Descriptions

Definitions

Term	Definition
Blighted Structure	Indiana Code does not define "blight" or "blighted structure" per se but properties or structures that, for the purposes of NSP3, would fit this definition are described in IC 13-11-2-19.3, IC 36-7-1-3 and IC 36-7-9. These laws broadly define the buildings or structures that are unsafe or contributing to blight as those that are: • In impaired structural condition that makes them unsafe to a person or property; • A fire hazard; • A hazard to public health; • Deteriorated, obsolete or substandard; • Environmentally contaminated or suspected of having hazardous substances; • Dangerous to a person or property because of a violation of a statute or ordinance concerning building condition or maintenance; • Vacant and not maintained in a manner that would allow human habitation, occupancy, or use under the requirement of a statute or ordinance.
Affordable Rents	IHCDA defines "affordable rents" as those county-by-county rent limits released by HUD for the HOME program as amended from time to time.

Descriptions

Term	Definition
Long-Term Affordability	IHCDA intends to utilize the HOME affordability period for all projects
	associated with NSP3.
Housing Rehabilitation	All housing activities using NSP3 funds that involve the construction or
Standards	rehabilitation of multi-family and single-family dwellings must meet all
	building codes and standards adopted and enforced by the State of Indiana
	as well as any local ordinances that exceed State codes and standards.

4. Low-Income Targeting

Low-Income Set-Aside Amount

Enter the low-income set-aside percentage in the first field. The field for total funds set aside will populate based on the percentage entered in the first field and the total NSP3 grant.

Identify the estimated amount of funds appropriated or otherwise made available under the NSP3 to be used to provide housing for individuals or families whose incomes do not exceed 50 percent of area median income.

Response:

Total low-income set-aside **percentage** (must be no less than 25 percent): 25.00% Total funds set aside for low-income individuals = \$2,058,906.25

Meeting Low-Income Target

Provide a summary that describes the manner in which the low-income targeting goals will be met. Response:

All units must be occupied by families earning less than 120% of Area Median Income. In addition, a minimum of 25% of those NSP assisted units will be restricted for occupancy by households at or below 50% of Area Median Income. In order to meet this second criterion, projects will use a mixture of the following interventions: rental properties, lease-purchase and Habitat builds. This builds on the experience each sub-grantee has acquired from NSP1 and their local knowledge of the markets where they plan to be working. All projects have proposed to meet a minimum of 25% set-aside but it is likely that they will exceed this target as this income group has a high level of housing need.

5. Acquisition and Relocation

Demolition or Conversion of LMI Units

Does the grantee intend to demolish or convert any low- and moderate-income	
dwelling units (i.e., ≤ 80% of area median income)?	Yes

If yes, fill in the table below.

Question	Number of Units
The number of low- and moderate-income dwelling units—i.e., ≤ 80% of area	
median income—reasonably expected to be demolished or converted as a direct	
result of NSP-assisted activities.	25
The number of NSP affordable housing units made available to low-, moderate-,	
and middle-income households—i.e., ≤ 120% of area median income—reasonably	
expected to be produced by activity and income level as provided for in DRGR, by	
each NSP activity providing such housing (including a proposed time schedule for	
commencement and completion).	37
The number of dwelling units reasonably expected to be made available for	
households whose income does not exceed 50 percent of area median income.	19

6. Public Comment

Citizen Participation Plan

Briefly describe how the grantee followed its citizen participation plan regarding this proposed substantial amendment or abbreviated plan.

Response

The draft NSP3 Abbreviated Plan was posted on our website on February 14 2011 and public comments were accepted through March 1 2011. Public Notice of the availability of the plan for review was published on February 14 in all daily and weekly newspapers serving Indiana. An electronic notification was also forwarded to subscribers of our IHCDA-Info electronic newsletter, with a circulation of

Summary of Public Comments Received.

The summary of public comments received is included as an attachment.

7. NSP Information by Activity

Enter each activity name and fill in the corresponding information. If you have fewer than seven activities, please delete any extra activity fields. (For example, if you have three activities, you should delete the tables labeled "Activity Number 4," "Activity Number 5," "Activity Number 6," and "Activity Number 7." If you are unsure how to delete a table, see the instructions above.

The field labeled "Total Budget for Activity" will populate based on the figures entered in the fields above it.

Consult the <u>NSP3 Program Design Guidebook</u> for guidance on completing the "Performance Measures" component of the activity tables below.

Activity Number 1		
Activity Name	City of Bicknell	
,	Select all that apply:	
Uses	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low Moderate Middle Income Housing (LMMH)	
	Provide a minimum of 7 affordable lease-purchase opportunities, and a	
	further 7 affordable rental opportunities, of which a minimum of 2 will be	
	ADA accessible housing units. This will complement the downtown	
	revitalization activity currently planned for the city. This activity will also help	
	to cluster activity already begun with NSP1 and provide further opportunities	
	in relation to the multi-billion dollar investment by Duke Energy in the	
Activity Description	locality. The project has already managed to use local contractors and hired	
	many section 3 residents. This approach will be continued with an emphasis on developing local employment opportunities. NSP3 funding will be supplied	
	as direct development subsidy for the individual units through the project	
	lead organization, City of Bicknell. This is in line with the successful approach	
	taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be	
	subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability in accord with HOME	
	requirements.	
	The City will be targeting a smaller part of its NSP1 target area that also	
	overlaps with their Downtown Revitalization area. With the investment that is	
	going into both these other target areas; the intention is to use NSP3 to	
Location Description	stimulate activity in a critical subsection of the NSP1 area. This is an area that	
	has already seen a small level of private investment, of which the city wishes	
	to encourage more.	

Dudget	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount	
	NSP3	\$1,412,280.92	
	Indiana Department of	¢1 101 000 00	
Budget	Transportation	\$1,181,000.00	
	Board of Commissioners of Knox	\$200,000	
	County	\$200,000	
Total Budget for Activity		\$2,793,280.92	
	The goal of this activity will be to pro	vide 14 new rental or lease purchase	
	homes which have been either rehabilitated or reconstructed. These units will		
Performance Measures	be available to households at or below 120% AMI. Further, pursuant to		
remonitance wieasures	Section 3 requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of low-		
	income residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this		
	project.		
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011		
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014		
Doom on eible	Name	City of Bicknell	
	Location	119 E. 2 nd Street, PO Box 127, Bicknell,	
		IN47512	
Responsible Organization	Administrator Contact Info	Amy Fehrenbacher, PACE Community	
Organization		Action Agency, 812-882-7927	
		525 N. Fourth Street, PO Box 687,	
		Vincennes, IN47591	

Activity Number 2		
Activity Name	City of Mishawaka	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
ose	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low Moderate Middle Income Housing (LMMH)	
Activity Description	Redevelopment of 13 structures to provide 13 new homes, with a minimum 5 homes to be developed in partnership with Habitat for Humanity to meet the 50% AMI set-aside. The project will select those properties that are in the worst condition and are causing a major blight in the area, in the hope that the removal of these blighting effects will stimulate the local market. This has been a successful strategy for NSP1. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct development subsidy for the redevelopment of individual units through the project lead organization, City of Mishawaka. This is in line with the successful approach taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability in accord with HOME requirements.	
Location Description	In the City of Mishawaka, in two separate target areas, both of which are in the NSP1 target area. Each of the NSP3 target areas are hotspots of blight and	

	المائي والمراجع والمر	late increase in the cases. The City has
	therefore this project will have a visible impact in the area. The City has	
	already identified the properties it would like to acquire, which are all located	
	close to properties that have already benefited from NSP1 assistance to	
	maximize synergy between NSP1 and NSP3 activities.	
Budget	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount
buuget	NSP3	\$807,294.00
Total Budget for Activity	r Activity \$807,294.00	
	The goal of this project is to create a minimum of 8 new homes as a result of	
	the reconstruction of existing blighted units. These homes will be available to	
Performance Measures	households at or below 120% AMI. Further, pursuant to Section 3	
	requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of low-income	
	residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this project.	
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011	
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014	
	Name	City of Mishawaka
Responsible Organization	Location	Department of Community
		Development, 600 E. third Street,
		Mishawaka, IN46544
	Administrator Contact Info	Lory Timmer, 574-258-1668

Activity Number 3		
Activity Name	City of Richmond	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
ose	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low Moderate Middle Income Housing (LMMH)	
Activity Description		

	CDBG funds for Owner-Occupied Rehabilitation from IHCDA. This request will	
	be considered within the Authority's normal round of funding but does not	
	affect the ability to deliver the NSP3 activity.	
	The NSP3 target area is a smaller par	t of the NSP1 target area and highlights
	the Vaile neighborhood which has su	Iffered from disinvestment in recent
Location Description	years. It is a historic neighborhood th	nat is at a tipping point. Sitting just south
	of the downtown area, it is vital to ke	eep this neighborhood active and NSP3
	will achieve this goal.	
	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount
Budget	NSP3	\$1,432,880.00
	Local Funds	\$1,965,042.00
Total Budget for Activity	vity \$3,397,922.00	
	The goal of the NSP portion of this project is to create a minimum of 11 new	
	homes for sale as a result of the demolition and reconstruction of existing	
Performance Measures	blighted units or rehabilitation of vacant units. These homes will be available	
Performance Measures	to households at or below 120% AMI. Further, pursuant to Section 3	
	requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of low-income	
	residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this project.	
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011	
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014	
	Name	City of Richmond
Responsible	Location	50 N. Fifth Street, Richmond, IN47374
Organization	Administrator Contact Info	765-983-7207,
		stardevelop@frontier.com

Activity Number 4		
Activity Name	Hoosier Uplands Economic Development Corporation	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
Ose	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low Moderate Middle Income Housing (LMMH)	
	Following a fire in November, one corner of the town square in Paoli is now a	
	pile of rubble. This otherwise perfectly traditional town square is on a main	
	intersection between three highways (US150, IN56, and IN37). The	
	redevelopment of this high profile area is critical to ensure this economic	
	center remains strong. The project includes a new mixed-use building (with	
Activity Description	NSP3 providing the funding for the apartments) containing apartments on the	
	second floor and retail space on the first floor. The new building will retain	
	the character of the square's other buildings and ensure that this corner of	
	the town does not get tarnished by the loss of this key site. NSP3 funding will	
	be supplied as a direct development subsidy for individual units through the	
project lead organization, Hoosier Uplands Economic Developm		

	Corporation. This is in line with the successful approach taken with NSP1. All	
	properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be subject to liens to preserve	
	long-term affordability.	
Leastian Description	The south west corner of the town square in Paoli which centers on and around the site of a burned down building.	
Location Description		
	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount
	NSP3	\$660,000.00
	IHCDA Strategic Investment Loan	\$300,000.00
Budget	and Grant	\$300,000.00
	Orange Co. Dev. Commission; AHP;	
	Local Funds; Bank Donation;	\$895,000.00
	Orange Co. Foundation	
Total Budget for Activity	y \$1,855,000.00	
The goal of the NSP portion of this project is to creat		roject is to create a minimum of 4 new
	apartments as a result of the reconstruction of an existing blighted site. These	
Performance Measures	units will be available to households at or below 120% AMI. Further, pursuant	
T CITOTITIANCE IVICASATES	to Section 3 requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of	
	low-income residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this	
	project.	
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011	
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014	
	Name	Hoosier Uplands EDC
Responsible	Location	500 W. Main Street, Mitchell, IN47446
Organization	Administrator Contact Info	Sheryl Sharpe, 317-826-3488x103,
		Sheryl@milestoneventuresinc.com

Activity Number 5		
Activity Name	Winona Hospital Redevelopment	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
OSE	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.201(d) Clearance for blighted structures only	
Activities	24CI N370.201(d) Clearance for blighted structures only	
National Objective	Low Moderate Middle Income Housing (LMMH)	
	The Winona Hospital has been vacant for many years and is a blighted	
	structure that is a local nuisance. Being riddled with asbestos and having	
	underground oil tanks this building has been preventing private developers	
	from moving forward with a redevelopment of the site due to the high costs	
Activity Description	of clearing the site. Using NSP3, this site will be demolished to prepare it for	
	three new uses: affordable housing for low-moderate families, an outdoor	
	learning/play center for the Children's Museum of Indianapolis and a	
	commercial component. Non-NSP funding will be used to complete the new	
	construction activities once the site has been cleared and is suitable for	

	building. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct development subsidy for individual units through the project lead organization, City of Bicknell. This is in line with the successful approach taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability.		
Location Description	The Hospital sits on a site in the Near North neighborhood that immediately abuts one of the NSP1 sites. It is bordered on the West by Illinois Street, to the East by Meridian Street, to the south by 32 nd Street and to the North by 33 rd Street		
	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount	
Budget	NSP3	\$707,300	
	City of Indianapolis	\$2,000,000	
Total Budget for Activity	\$2,707,300		
Performance Measures	The goal of this project is to demolish and clear the ground ready for significant new development, including a minimum of 50 units of affordable housing. These units will be available for households at or below 120% AMI. The exact number of units to be developed will be dependent on both the affordable housing developer that will be selected and also the availability of tax credits to assist with funding this. It is intended that this will include a mix of both single family homes and multi-family dwellings.		
Projected Start Date	April 1,2011		
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014		
	Name	The Children's Museum of Indianapolis	
Responsible	Location	3000 N. Meridian Street, Indianapolis,	
Organization	Administrator Contact Info	IN46208	
	Administrator Contact Info	Anthony Bridgeman, 317-334-4137, anthonyb@childrensmuseum.org	

Activity Number 6		
Activity Name	City of Bicknell LH 25	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
ose	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low-Income Housing to Meet 25% Set-Aside (LH25)	
	Provide a minimum of 2 affordable lease-purchase opportunities, and a	
further 2 affordable rental opportunities, of which a minimum of 2 will be		
	ADA accessible housing units. This will complement the downtown	
Activity Description	revitalization activity currently planned for the city. This activity will also help	
	to cluster activity already begun with NSP1 and provide further opportunities	
	in relation to the multi-billion dollar investment by Duke Energy in the	
	locality. The project has already managed to use local contractors and hired	

	many section 3 residents. This approach will be continued with an emphasis on developing local employment opportunities. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct development subsidy for individual units through the project lead organization, City of Bicknell. This is in line with the successful approach		
	taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be		
	subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability. The leverage for this activity has already been described within the previous City of Bicknell activity		
	as it is not possible at this stage to split how the funding will be used between		
	the LH25 and main element of the project.		
	The City will be targeting a smaller pa	G	
	· ·	alization area. With the investment that is	
Location Description	going into both these other target ar	•	
2000	stimulate activity in a distinct part of the NSP1 area. This is an area that has		
	already seen a small private investment which the city wishes to encourage		
	more of.		
Budget	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount	
	NSP3	\$587,719.08	
Total Budget for Activity			
	The goal of this project is to create a minimum of 4 rental units or lease-		
	purchase units as a result of the reconstruction of existing blighted units or		
Performance Measures	rehabilitation of existing homes. These homes will be available to households		
	at or below 50% AMI. Further, pursuant to Section 3 requirements, the		
	project will seek to maximize the number of low-income residents employed		
	1 ' -	, ,	
	through contracts executed as a resu	, ,	
Projected Start Date	April 1,2011	, ,	
Projected Start Date Projected End Date		ılt of this project.	
•	April 1,2011 March 31, 2014 Name	Ilt of this project. City of Bicknell	
Projected End Date	April 1,2011 March 31, 2014	ılt of this project.	
Projected End Date Responsible	April 1,2011 March 31, 2014 Name	Ilt of this project. City of Bicknell	
Projected End Date	April 1,2011 March 31, 2014 Name	City of Bicknell 119 E. 2 nd Street, PO Box 127, Bicknell,	

Activity Number 7		
Activity Name	City of Mishawaka LH25	
	Select all that apply:	
	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
Use	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
Use	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low-Income Housing to Meet 25% Set-Aside (LH25)	
Activity Description	Redevelopment of 13 homes to provide 13 new homes, with a minimum 5	
Activity Description	homes to be developed in partnership with Habitat for Humanity to meet the	

	50% AMI set-aside. The project will select those properties that are in the worst condition and are causing a major blight in the area, in the hope that the removal of these blighting effects will stimulate the local market. This has been a successful strategy for NSP1. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct development subsidy for individual units through the project lead organization, City of Mishawaka. This is in line with the successful approach taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability. The leverage for this activity has already been described within the previous City of Bicknell activity as it is not possible at this stage to split how the funding will be used between the LH25 and main element of the project.		
Location Description	In the City of Mishawaka, in two separate target areas, both of which are in the NSP1 target area. Each of the NSP3 target areas are hotspots of blight and therefore this project will have a visible impact in the area. The City has already identified the properties it would like to acquire which are all located close to properties that have already benefited from NSP1 assistance.		
Dudget	Source of Funding Dollar Amount		
Budget	NSP3	\$377,156.00	
Total Budget for Activity	y \$377,156.00		
Performance Measures	The goal of this project is to create a minimum of 5 new homes built by Habitat as a result of the reconstruction of existing blighted homes. These homes will be available to households at or below 50% AMI. Further, pursuant to Section 3 requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of low-income residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this project.		
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011		
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014		
	Name	City of Mishawaka	
Responsible Organization	Location	Department of Community Development, 600 E. Third Street, Mishawaka, IN46544	
	Administrator Contact Info	Lory Timmer, 574-258-1668, Itimmer@mishawaka.in.gov	

Activity Number 8		
Activity Name	City of Richmond LH25	
	Select all that apply:	
Use	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms	
	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation	
	Eligible Use C: Land Banking	
	Eligible Use D: Demolition	
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment	
CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and	
Activities	other residential properties	
National Objective	Low-Income Housing to Meet 25% Set-Aside (LH25)	
Activity Description	The rehabilitation of 9 homes and the new construction of 2 homes using	

	NSP3 to be complemented with Owned-Occupied Rehabilitation to 19 homes.		
	The area is a smaller part of the original NSP1 target area and highlights the key clusters of activity that have already been achieved. The project target area is based around a number of other projects including the rehabilitation of the local park, and also investment by the Indiana Department of Transport		
	into some of the key roads in the area with new paving, sidewalks and		
	lighting. All the NSP3 units will be for homebuyers, though the properties		
	aimed at <50% AMI families will be subject to a lease-purchase arrangement.		
	The project is shovel ready with some of the properties already land-banked		
	from NSP1 and options on others. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct		
	development subsidy for individual u		
	organization, City of Bicknell. This is in line with the successful approach		
	taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be		
	subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability. The leverage for this activity has already been described within the previous City of Bicknell activity		
	as it is not possible at this stage to split how the funding will be used between		
	the LH25 and main element of the project.		
	The NSP3 target area is a smaller part of the NSP1 target area and highlights		
	the Vaile neighborhood which has suffered from disinvestment in recent		
Location Description	years. It is a historic neighborhood that is at a tipping point. Sitting just south		
	of the downtown area, it is vital to keep this neighborhood active and NSp3		
	will achieve this goal.		
Budget	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount	
	NSP3	\$567,120.00	
Total Budget for Activity		\$567,120.00	
		minimum of 2 rehabilitated homes or	
		of the reconstruction of existing blighted	
Performance Measures	sites. These homes will be available to households at or below 50% AMI.		
	Further, pursuant to Section 3 requirements, the project will seek to maximize		
		employed through contracts executed as	
	a result of this project.		
Duciostad Stout Data			
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011		
Projected Start Date Projected End Date	March 31, 2014		
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014 Name	City of Richmond	
Projected End Date Responsible	March 31, 2014 Name Location	50 N. Fifth Street, Richmond, IN47374	
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014 Name	·	

Activity Number 9			
Activity Name	Hoosier Uplands Economic Development Corporation LH25		
	Select all that apply:		
Use	Eligible Use A: Financing Mechanisms		
	Eligible Use B: Acquisition and Rehabilitation		
	Eligible Use C: Land Banking		
	Eligible Use D: Demolition		
	Eligible Use E: Redevelopment		

CDBG Activity or	24CFR570.202 eligible rehabilitation and preservation activities for homes and		
Activities	other residential properties		
National Objective	Low-Income Housing to Meet 25% Set-Aside (LH25)		
Activity Description	Following a fire in November, one corner of the town square in Paoli is now a pile of rubble. This otherwise perfectly traditional town square is on a main intersection between three highways (US150, IN56, and IN37). This high profile area is critical to rebuild to ensure this economic center remains strong. The project plans to build a mixed use building (with NSP3 providing the funding for the apartments) containing both apartments on the second floor and retail space on the first floor. The new building will retain the character of the square's other buildings and ensure that this corner of the town does not get tarnished by the loss of this key site. NSP3 funding will be supplied as a direct development subsidy for individual units through the project lead organization, City of Bicknell. This is in line with the successful approach taken with NSP1. All properties acquired and assisted with NSP3 will be subject to liens to preserve long-term affordability. The leverage for this activity has already been described within the previous City of Bicknell activity as it is not possible at this stage to split how the funding will be used between the LH25 and main element of the project.		
Location Description	The south west corner of the town square in Paoli which centers on and around the site of a burned down building.		
D. J	Source of Funding	Dollar Amount	
Budget	NSP3	\$1,340,000.00	
Total Budget for Activity	,	\$1,340,000.00	
Performance Measures	The goal of this project is to create a minimum of 8 new apartments as a result of the reconstruction of an existing blighted site. These homes will be available to households at or below 50% AMI. Further, pursuant to Section 3 requirements, the project will seek to maximize the number of low-income residents employed through contracts executed as a result of this project.		
Projected Start Date	April 1, 2011		
Projected End Date	March 31, 2014		
Responsible	Name	Hoosier Uplands Economic Development Corporation	
Organization	Location	500 W. Main Street, Mitchell, IN47446	
Organization	Administrator Contact Info	Sheryl Sharpe, 317-826-3488x103,	
		Sheryl@milestoneventuresinc.com	

8. Certifications

Certifications for State and Entitlement Communities

(1) **Affirmatively furthering fair housing**. The jurisdiction certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing, which means that it will conduct an analysis to identify impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting the analysis and actions in this regard.

- (2) **Anti-displacement and relocation plan.** The applicant certifies that it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan.
- (3) **Anti-lobbying.** The jurisdiction must submit a certification with regard to compliance with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part.
- (4) **Authority of jurisdiction.** The jurisdiction certifies that the consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable, is authorized under state and local law (as applicable) and that the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations and other program requirements.
- (5) **Consistency with plan.** The jurisdiction certifies that the housing activities to be undertaken with NSP funds are consistent with its consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable.
- (6) **Acquisition and relocation.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4601), and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except as those provisions are modified by the notice for the NSP program published by HUD.
- (7) **Section 3.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135.
- (8) **Citizen participation.** The jurisdiction certifies that it is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of Sections 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as modified by NSP requirements.
- (9) **Following a plan.** The jurisdiction certifies it is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD. [Only States and entitlement jurisdictions use this certification.]
- (10) **Use of funds.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 by spending 50 percent of its grant funds within 2 years, and spending 100 percent within 3 years, of receipt of the grant.

(11) The jurisdiction certifies:

- a. that all of the NSP funds made available to it will be used with respect to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income; and
- b. The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements. However, if NSP funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with NSP funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. In addition, with respect to properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (but not low-income) families, an

assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than NSP funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks NSP or CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

- (12) Excessive force. The jurisdiction certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing:
 - a. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstrations; and
 - b. A policy of enforcing applicable state and local laws against physically barring entrance to, or exit from, a facility or location that is the subject of such nonviolent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.
- (13) **Compliance with anti-discrimination laws.** The jurisdiction certifies that the NSP grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.
- (14) **Compliance with lead-based paint procedures.** The jurisdiction certifies that its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R of this title.
- (15) Compliance with laws. The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with applicable laws.
- (16) **Vicinity hiring.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will, to the maximum extent feasible, provide for hiring of employees that reside in the vicinity of NSP3 funded projects or contract with small businesses that are owned and operated by persons residing in the vicinity of NSP3 projects.
- (17) **Development of affordable rental housing.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will be abide by the procedures described in its NSP3 Abbreviated Plan to create preferences for the development of affordable rental housing for properties assisted with NSP3 funds.

Signature/Authorized Official	Date
Title	

Certifications for Non-Entitlement Local Governments

- (1) **Affirmatively furthering fair housing.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will affirmatively further fair housing.
- (2) **Anti-displacement and relocation plan.** The applicant certifies that it has in effect and is following a residential anti-displacement and relocation assistance plan.
- (3) **Anti-lobbying.** The jurisdiction must submit a certification with regard to compliance with restrictions on lobbying required by 24 CFR part 87, together with disclosure forms, if required by that part.
- (4) **Authority of jurisdiction.** The jurisdiction certifies that the consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable, is authorized under state and local law (as applicable) and that the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations and other program requirements.
- (5) **Consistency with plan.** The jurisdiction certifies that the housing activities to be undertaken with NSP funds are consistent with its consolidated plan or abbreviated plan, as applicable.
- (6) **Acquisition and relocation.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4601), and implementing regulations at 49 CFR part 24, except as those provisions are modified by the notice for the NSP program published by HUD.
- (7) **Section 3.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u), and implementing regulations at 24 CFR part 135.
- (8) **Citizen participation.** The jurisdiction certifies that it is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of Sections 24 CFR 91.105 or 91.115, as modified by NSP requirements.
- (9) **Use of funds.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Title XII of Division A of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 by spending 50 percent of its grant funds within 2 years, and spending 100 percent within 3 years, of receipt of the grant.

(10) The jurisdiction certifies:

- a. that all of the NSP funds made available to it will be used with respect to individuals and families whose incomes do not exceed 120 percent of area median income; and
- b. The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds, by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low- and moderate-income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements. However, if NSP funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with NSP funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. In addition, with respect to properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (but not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public

improvements financed by a source other than NSP funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks NSP or CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

- (11) Excessive force. The jurisdiction certifies that it has adopted and is enforcing:
 - a. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in nonviolent civil rights demonstrations; and
 - b. A policy of enforcing applicable state and local laws against physically barring entrance to, or exit from, a facility or location that is the subject of such nonviolent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction.
- (12) **Compliance with anti-discrimination laws.** The jurisdiction certifies that the NSP grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 U.S.C. 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.
- (13) **Compliance with lead-based paint procedures.** The jurisdiction certifies that its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K, and R of this title.
- (14) **Compliance with laws.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will comply with applicable laws.
- (15) **Vicinity hiring.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will, to the maximum extent feasible, provide for hiring of employees that reside in the vicinity of NSP3 funded projects or contract with small businesses that are owned and operated by persons residing in the vicinity of NSP3 projects.
- (16) **Development of affordable rental housing.** The jurisdiction certifies that it will be abide by the procedures described in its NSP3 Abbreviated Plan to create preferences for the development of affordable rental housing for properties assisted with NSP3 funds.

Signature/Authorized Official	Date
Title	

Appendix: NSP3 Action Plan Contents Checklist

The checklist below is an optional tool for NSP3 grantees to help to ensure that all required elements of the NSP3 Substantial Amendment or the Abbreviated Plan are submitted to HUD. This checklist only includes the minimum required elements that must be included in the NSP3 Action Plan and grantees may want to add additional details. This document must be protected, as described above, in order to use the checkboxes in this checklist.

1. NSP3 Grantee Information

	Yes
Did you include the Program Administrator's name, address, phone,	
and email address?	

2. Areas of Greatest Need

	Yes
Does the narrative description describe how funds will give priority emphasis to areas of greatest need?	
Does the narrative description specifically address how the funds will give priority emphasis to those areas:	
With the highest percentage of home foreclosures?	
 With the highest percentage of homes financed by subprime mortgage related loan?; and 	
 Identified by the grantee as likely to face a significant rise in the rate of home foreclosures? 	
Did you create the area of greatest needs map at http://www.huduser.org/NSP/NSP3.html ?	
Did you include the map as an attachment to your Action Plan?	
ONLY Applicable for States: Did you include the needs of all entitlement communities in the State?	

3. Definitions and Descriptions

	Yes
Are the following definitions and topics included in your substantial amendment?:	
Blighted structure in context of state or local law,	

Affordable rents,	
 Ensuring long term affordability for all NSP funded housing projects, 	
 Applicable housing rehabilitation standards for NSP funded projects 	

4. Low-Income Targeting

	Yes
Did you identify the estimated amount of funds appropriated to provide housing that meets the low-income set aside target?	\boxtimes
Did you provide a summary describing how your jurisdiction will meet its low-income set aside goals?	\boxtimes

5. Acquisition & Relocation

	Yes
For all acquisitions that will result in displacement did you specify:	
The planned activity,	
 The number of units that will result in displacement, 	
The manner in which the grantee will comply with URA for those residents?	
those residents.	

6. Public Comment

	Yes
Did you provide your draft of the NSP3 substantial amendment for a minimum of 15 days for public comment?	
Did you include the public comments you received on the NSP3 substantial amendment in your plan?	

7. NSP Information by Activity

	Check all that apply
Did you include a description of all eligible NSP3 activities you plan to implement with your NSP3 award?	
For each eligible NSP3 activity you plan to implement did you include:	

Eligible use or uses?	
Correlated eligible CDBG activity or activities?	
Associated national objective?	
How the activity will address local market conditions?	
Range of interest rates (if any)?	
Duration or term of assistance?	
Tenure of beneficiaries (e.g. rental or homeowner)?	
 If the activity produces housing, how the design of the activity will ensure continued affordability? 	\boxtimes
 How you will, to the maximum extent possible, provide for vicinity hiring? 	
 Procedures used to create affordable rental housing preferences? 	
Areas of greatest need addressed by the activity or activities?	
Amount of funds budgeted for the activity?	
 Appropriate performance measures for the activity (e.g. units of housing to be acquired, rehabilitated, or demolished for the income levels represented in DRGR)? 	\boxtimes
Expected start and end dates of the activity?	
Name and location of the entity that will carry out the activity?	

8. Certifications

	Yes
Did you sign and submit the certification form applicable to your jurisdiction?	

9. Additional Documentation

	Yes
Did you include a signed SF-424?	